# A QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH STUDY
# CONDUCTED AMONG KATIMAVIK
# PAST PARTICIPANTS
# PROGRAM YEARS 1 TO 5

Prepared For:

KATIMAVIK

CENTRE DE RECHERCHES CONTEMPORAINES LIMITÉE

Montréal • Toronto • Ottawa

June, 1985

OPCAN
KATIMAVIK

2270 Ave. Pierre-Dupuy
Montreal, Que H3C 3R4
(514)861-9901

Katimavik

Review Summary by Eval. Office of Katimavik

REPORT

# SURVEY OF KATIMAVIK PAST PARTICIPANTS - PROGRAM YEARS 1 TO 5

OPCAN PROGRAM
EVALUATION OFFICE
MAY, 1985

## INTRODUCTION

The Evaluation Office commissioned a telephone survey of former participants of years 1 to 5 to explore issues of employment and schooling (and Katimavik's influence on them), mobility and degree of interest in youth issues.

The sample size, designed to produce statistically significant data was as follows:

| YEAR | NO. RESPONDENTS |
|---|---|
| 1 | 50 |
| 2 | 51 |
| 3 | 101 |
| 4 | 100 |
| 5 | 101 |
| TOTAL | 403 |

## EMPLOYMENT AND SCHOOLING

The following table summarizes the participant's main occupation at the time of enrollment, during the 12 months following participation in the program, and at present (May 1985).

| OCCUPATION | AT ENROLLMENT | | 12 MONTH PERIOD AFTER K | | AT PRESENT | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NO. | % | NO. | % | NO. | % |
| STUDENT | 289 | 71.7% | 169 | 41.9% | 109 | 27% |
| EMPLOYED | 78 | 19.4% | 195 | 47.9% | 241 | 59.8% |
| UNEMPLOYED | 35 | 8.7% | 31 | 7.7% | 27 | 6.7% |
| OTHER | 1 | 0.2% | 10 | 2.5% | 26 | 6.5% |

Because the population is aging over time, the observed trend between studying and working is as would be expected.

The following table breaks down the unemployed by year.

**UNEMPLOYED**

| YEAR | AT ENROLLMENT | | AT PRESENT | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NO. | % | NO. | % |
| 1 | 8 | 10% | 4 | 8% |
| 2 | 4 | 7.8% | 3 | 5.9% |
| 3 | 9 | 8.9% | 4 | 4% |
| 4 | 8 | 8% | 8 | 8% |
| 5 | 6 | 6% | 8 | 7.9% |

As can been seen, the percentage unemployed has decreased for participants from years 1 to 3, and increased for participants from years 4 and 5. However, with regards to the unemployment figure, given the small number of respondents in each cell, it is difficult to generalize from these results.

The following table summarizes the changes in main occupation between the time of enrollment and the present.

| | OCCUPATION AT PRESENT | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| OCCUPATION AT ENROLLMENT | EMPLOYED | STUDENT | UNEMPLOYED | OTHER | TOTAL | |
| EMPLOYED | 54 | 15 | [illegible] | 3 | 77 | 19.1% |
| STUDENT | 163 | 89 | 17 | 20 | 289 | 71.7% |
| UNEMPLOYED | 24 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 36 | 8.9% |
| OTHER | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.2% |
| TOTAL | 241<br>59.8% | 109<br>27.0% | 27<br>6.7% | 26<br>6.5% | 403<br>100% | |

For example, of the 77 respondents employed at enrollment, at present 54 are employed, 15 are students and 5 are unemployed.

There is in general, a fair bit of movement between categories from the time of enrollment to the present.

The type of unemployment was explored further with those 27 who listed themselves as unemployed. Their reasons for not working are broken down as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| - Actively looking for work | 14 | 51.9% |
| - Work on a temporary or contract basis, and currently between contracts | 4 | 14.8% |
| - On temporary layoff | 4 | 14.8% |
| - Tried several jobs but have not found anything suitable | 3 | 11.1% |
| - Don't need to work | 2 | 7.4% |

Of great interest to Katimavik is how former participants compare to the general population in terms of employment rates. Using Statistics Canada definitions and methodology, 200 of the respondents can be considered in the labour force. Of them, 25 (27 unemployed less 2 who don't need to work) are available for work and not working, for an unemployment rate of 9.4%. This compares quite favourably with the 1984 unemployment rates of 19.9% and 16.8% for Canadians aged 15-19 and 20-24 respectively. (The survey done during August 1984 of former participants in Years VI and VII revealed unemployment rates of 14.3%, 13 to 24 months after participation and 20.3%, 6 to 9 months after participation, respectively).

Those respondents who are employed at present are distributed as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Private sector | 123 | (53.8%) |
| Government | 56 | (23.9%) |
| Self-Employed | 23 | ( 9.7%) |
| Non-Profit Org. | 14 | ( 5.9%) |
| Crown Corp. | 7 | ( 2.9%) |
| Armed Forces | 5 | ( 2.1%) |

The type of work done was categorized using the Statistics Canada Standard Occupational Classifications (1981 Census) as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Not classified | 35 | (14.7%) |
| Service | 30 | (12.6%) |
| Managerial | 23 | ( 9.7%) |
| Clerical | 23 | ( 9.7%) |
| Teaching | 20 | ( 8.4%) |
| Natural Sciences | 13 | ( 5.5%) |
| Sales | 13 | ( 5.5%) |
| Medicine/Health | 12 | ( 5.0%) |
| Artistic | 9 | ( 3.4%) |
| Machining | 8 | ( 3.4%) |
| Product Fab./Repair | 7 | ( 2.9%) |
| Construction | 7 | ( 2.9%) |
| Material Handling | 7 | ( 2.9%) |
| Social Sciences | 6 | ( 2.5%) |
| Farming | 6 | 2.5%) |
| Forestry/Logging | 5 | 2.1%) |
| Other categories | 11 | (6.3%) |

The following table shows the influence of Katimavik on the respondent s choice of work, by sector:

| Influence of Katimavik | Private Sector | Gov't. | Self-Employed | Non-Prof Org. | Crown Corp. | Armed Forces | Don't Know | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Very strong | 21 (17.1%) | 9 (15.1%) | 2 (8.7%) | 6 (42.9%) | - (57 %) | 4 (80%) | - | 46 (19.9%) |
| Somewhat strong | 29 (23.6%) | 25 (44.6%) | 9 (39.1%) | 4 (28.6%) | 2 (28.6%) | 1 (20%) | - | 70 (30.3%) |
| Weak | 24 (19.5%) | 9 (16.1%) | 5 (21.7%) | 3 (21.4%) | - | - | 2 (66.7%) | 43 (18.6%) |
| Not at all | 48 (39%) | 13 (23.2%) | 7 (30.4%) | 1 (7.1%) | 1 (14.3%) | - | 1 (33.3%) | 71 (30.7%) |
| Don t know | 1 (0.8%) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (0.4%) |
| TOTAL | 123 (100%) | 56 (100%) | 23 (100%) | 14 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 5 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 231 |

Just over 50% of the respondents stated that Katimavik had a "very strong" (19.9%) or "somewhat strong" (30.3%) influence on their choice of work. 61% of those working in government said that Katimavik had a very or somewhat strong influence, whereas only 40.7% of those in the private sector felt the same.

When asked if, during their stay at Katimavik, they acquired some work skills that helped them in their job, 56.8% said yes and 43.2% said no. The only sector that stands out is government, where 70% said yes and 30% no.

The field of study of the respondents was categorized according to Statistics Canada data (1976, 1984 no. 81-204 cat.).

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Social Sciences | 29 | (27.4%) |
| Education | 17 | (16%) |
| Humanities | 12 | (11.3%) |
| Other | 10 | ( 9.4%) |
| Fine Arts | 9 | ( 8.5%) |
| Engineering | 9 | ( 8.5%) |
| Health/Phys. Ed. | 9 | ( 8.5%) |
| Agriculture/Biology | 7 | ( 6.6%) |
| Math/Physical Science | 3 | ( 2.8%) |
| Administration | 1 | ( 0.9%) |

When asked to rate Katimavik's influence on their choice of field of study, they responded as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Very little influence | 34 | (34%) |
| Some influence | 26 | (26%) |
| Considerable influence | 20 | (20%) |
| Great influence | 19 | (19%) |
| Don't know | 1 | (1%) |

65% said that the Katimavik program had "some" to "a great influence" on their field of study.

The fields of study where Katimavik seems to have had the most influence are fine arts and agriculture/biology, where 55.5% and 57.2% respectively rated Katimavik's influence as considerable or great.

MOBILITY

An analysis of the respondent's province of residence at time of enrollment and at present reveals that 347 of the 403 respondents, or 86%, still live in the same province. Given the survey methodology, this result is not surprising: no effort was made to contact former participants who had moved, unless someone who knew them (ie. parents) still lived at their former address.

INTEREST IN YOUTH ISSUES

85.6% of the respondents said that they would like to participate in special projects, such as that aiming to provide aid to famine-stricken countries.

69.9% said that they would like to participate in surveys/opinion polls on youth-related issues.

77% said that they would like to participate in activities celebrating the 10th anniversary of Katimavik.

INTEREST IN INVOLVEMENT WITH KATIMAVIK

The following percentages said that they would like to be informed of jobs available at Katimavik:

- as Group Leaders - 57.3%
- as Recruitment Officers - 54.8%
- as Resource persons - 71.2%

85.4% said that they would like to receive a 'The Katimavik Network' newsletter regularly.

The following percentages said that they would like to receive information on Katimavik activities:

- relating to policies, programs, services and public debates which concern youth - 80.6%
- relating to the International Year of Youth - 81.1%

**EVALUATION OFFICE**
**May 25, 1985**

TABLE OF CONTENTS


| | Page Number |
|---|---|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| The Purpose of the Study | 2 |
| METHODOLOGY | 3 |
| Sample | 4 |
| Procedure | 6 |
| Questionnaire Design | 6 |
| SYNOPSIS | 7 |
| DETAILED RESULTS OF THE STUDY | 9 |
| Notes on Reading the Report | 10 |
| Section 1 Interest in KATIMAVIK | 11 |
| Section 2 Occupation: | 16 |
| Student | 23 |
| Employment | 29 |
| Unemployment | 37 |
| APPENDIX A: COPIES OF THE STUDY QUESTIONNAIRES | |
| APPENDIX B: TECHNICAL INFORMATION | |

# INTRODUCTION

# INTRODUCTION

## The Purpose of the Study

Since its inception KATIMAVIK has attempted to instill within its participants the lifeskills needed to become fully functional Canadians. In order to assess the degree to which the program has met this objective, KATIMAVIK has asked CRC to conduct among participants of Years 1 through 5 an evaluation of the impact of their participation in KATIMAVIK on their occupation history and other related issues.

More specifically the research was designed to:

- Assess the degree to which past participants wish to maintain their involvement with KATIMAVIK

- Evaluate the perceived impact of KATIMAVIK on the schooling, employment, and unemployment and history of past participants

# METHODOLOGY

# METHODOLOGY

## Sample

A total of 403 interviews were conducted across Canada with participants of KATIMAVIK (Years 1 through 5). The names and telephone numbers of the participants who responded to our survey were chosen from the master list of all past participants of Years 1 through 5 that was supplied to us by KATIMAVIK. This contained the names and telephone numbers of 4547 past participants. Of these 4547 names and telephone numbers, 2301 were called. A total of 5% were not in service or were registered to people who did not know the participant; 75% were either not traceable or not at home either during our initial call or during the call-back. Of the participants who were sampled, who resided in Canada during the survey period and who were contacted, 100% agreed to be interviewed; for an effective response rate of 100%.

The representativity of the sample was ensured by systematic random sampling of past participants within a year of participation and by province of residence at the time of enrollment. As previously mentioned a maximum of one call-back was made. If the participant had left a number at which he/she could be reached, this new number was treated as if it was selected during the initial sample.

The profile of the population was as follows:

Province of Residence at the Time of Enrollment

| Program Year | PEI. | NFL. | N.S. | N.B. | Que. | Ont. | Man. | Sask. | Alta. | B.C. | Other | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | 18 | 26 | 21 | 304 | 289 | 30 | 30 | 39 | 96 | 2 | 860 |
| 2 | 9 | 23 | 27 | 33 | 284 | 299 | 38 | 32 | 49 | 94 | 5 | 893 |
| 3 | 4 | 36 | 34 | 29 | 324 | 353 | 28 | 28 | 66 | 106 | - | 1007 |
| 4 | 8 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 279 | 239 | 24 | 24 | 52 | 71 | 1 | 763 |
| 5 | 7 | 28 | 32 | 23 | 309 | 323 | 42 | 45 | 112 | 107 | 1 | 1029 |
| | 33 | 125 | 141 | 129 | 1500 | 1503 | 162 | 159 | 318 | 474 | 9 | 4553 |

A stratified systematic sample of this population produced the following number of interviews:

Province of Residence at the Time of Enrollment

| Program Year | PEI. | NFL. | N.S. | N.B. | Que. | Ont. | Man. | Sask. | Alta. | B.C. | Other | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | - | 50 |
| 2 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | - | 51 |
| 3 | - | 8 | 5 | 5 | 31 | 32 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 9 | - | 100 |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | - | 3 | 31 | 30 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 101 |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 31 | 31 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 13 | - | 101 |
| | 2 | 18 | 12 | 19 | 123 | 126 | 13 | 18 | 29 | 42 | 1 | 403 |

The demographic profile of this sample was as follows:

| Mother Tongue | |
|---|---|
| French | 32% |
| English | 66 |
| Other | 2 |

| Age | |
|---|---|
| 21 - 22 | 18% |
| 23 | 22 |
| 24 | 19 |
| 25 | 20 |
| Over 25 | 21 |

| Sex | |
|---|---|
| Male | 39% |
| Female | 61 |

| Province of Residence at the Time of Interview | |
|---|---|
| East | 10% |
| Quebec | 30 |
| Ontario | 31 |
| West | 26 |

| Province of Residence at Enrollment | |
|---|---|
| East | 13% |
| Quebec | 31 |
| Ontario | 31 |
| West | 26 |

## Procedure

Telephone interviews were deemed to be the most appropriate method of collecting the required data. Two questionnaires were utilized. The first questionnaire averaged 5 minutes in length. All fieldwork was conducted by trained CRC interviewers in central telephone interviewing facilities in Toronto and in Montreal between May 10th and May 12th, 1985. The second questionnaire was administered only to the 35 respondents who stated that they were unemployed during the first series of interviews. It averaged 2 minutes in length and was conducted from our facilities in Montreal between May 18th and May 22nd, 1985.

## Questionnaire Design

The main questionnaire was set up to explore those issues of concern to KATIMAVIK and was structured in the following manner:

- Degree to which past participants wish to remain involved with the KATIMAVIK organization.
- Interest in youth related issues.
- Occupation at the time of enrollment in KATIMAVIK.
- Occuptation during the 12 months following their particpation in KATIMAVIK.
- Occupation at the present time.
- Influence of KATIMAVIK on the choice of occupation.
- Demographics.

As previously mentioned, the second questionnaire was administered only to those respondents who stated in the first questionnaire that they were unemployed. This second questionnaire asked these past participants to describe why they were unemployed.

Copies of the English and French questionnaires that were used in the study are appended.

# SYNOPSIS

# SYNOPSIS

1. A large majority of former participants would like to re-kindle their association with KATIMAVIK. More specifically, 85% would like to receive the KATIMAVIK Network Newsletter regularly.

2. The number of students has dropped from three-quarters (72%) of KATIMAVIK participants prior to enrollment, to less than half (43%) immediately following KATIMAVIK, to a quarter (27%) at present.

3. The proportion of participants who were employed has increased over time. Nineteen percent were employed prior to KATIMAVIK, approximately half (48%) were employed immediately following KATIMAVIK, and approximately two-third (60%) are employed at present.

4. Half the past participants (51%) stated that KATIMAVIK influenced their choice of work.

5. Slightly more than half (56%) of KATIMAVIK participants believe that the program taught them work-related skills, and 42% utilize these skills in their present jobs.

6. The proportion of participants who were unemployed has remained at approximately 10%. (Prior - 9%; after - 8%; time of the first survey - 9%; and time of the second survey - 7%).

7. The unemployment rate among those surveyed was 7%, which was half (49%) of the official unemployment rate (13.1%) in Canada for a group with comparable age, sex and province of residence characteristics.

# DETAILED RESULTS OF THE STUDY

Notes on Reading the Report

This report is comprised of what is considered to be meaningful and pertinent data drawn from a complete set of computer print-out tables, copies of which have been presented to the client under separate cover.

The data were used to determine the impact of: sex, age, region of residence at the time of enrollment, region of residence at the time of the survey, and year of participation, on the major variables of interest.

The actual report follows the outline of the questionnaire and has been divided into two sections:

Section 1 Interest in KATIMAVIK

Section 2 Occupation:

Unemployed

Student

Employed

## Section 1

## Interest in KATIMAVIK

## Interest in KATIMAVIK

The interview began with a series of questions that were designed to determine whether past participants of KATIMAVIK (Years 1 to 5) would like to re-establish their liaison wth KATIMAVIK, and if so, the type of interaction that would be of interest to them.

Nine of these types of interactions were tested. As described in Table 1, each of them were of interest to at least half of all respondents.

The interactions that scored highest dealt with information flow; more than 80% of past participants stated that they would like to receive:

- The KATIMAVIK Network regularly (85%)
- Information on KATIMAVIK activities which relate to the International Year of Youth (81%), or
- Information on policies which concern youth (81%).

It should be noted, that activities which relate to policies concerning youth were of particular interest to men (89%); and to participants who lived in either Atlantic Canada (92%) or in Quebec (86%). They were of significantly less interest to women (75%); and participants who lived in either Ontario (60%) or Western Canada (58%).

## Interest in Maintaining a Liaison with KATMAVIK

KATIMAVIK would like to use a network for former participants; parents of former participants; former sponsors; and former employers in order to facilitate the exchange of information, to encourage participation in community activities and to consult them on youth-related issues.

| | | Yes |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Firstly, would you like to participate in: | |
| | a) Special projects, such as that aiming to provide aid to famine-striken countries?.... | 65% |
| | b) Surveys/opinion polls on youth-related issues?..... | 70% |
| | c) Activities celebrating the 10th anniversary of KATIMAVIK?..... | 76% |
| 2. | Would you like to be informed of jobs that are available at KATIMAVIK? | |
| | a) As group leader?..... | 57% |
| | b) As a participant recruitment officer?..... | 55% |
| | c) As a resource person?..... | 71% |
| 3. | Would you like to receive the "THE KATIMAVIK NETWORK" newsletter regularly? | 85% |
| 4. | Would you like to receive information on KITAMAVIK activities which relate to: | |
| | a) Policies, programs, services and public debates which concern youth?..... | 81% |
| | b) Activities relating to the International Year of Youth?..... | 81% |

Table 1

When KATIMAVIK graduates were asked if they were willing to participate in KATIMAVIK sponsored surveys, special projects or KATIMAVIK's 10th anniversary, the reaction was somewhat less enthusiastic. Of the former participants that were surveyed:

- 76% would like to celebrate KATIMAVIK's anniversary
- 70% would enjoy participating in surveys on youth related issues; and
- 65% would like to participate in special projects such as aiming to provide aid to famine-stricken countries.

Further analysis of the data provided significant differences in the profiles of participants who would participate in the celebration of KATIMAVIK's anniversary and in the profile of respondents who would participate in special projects. The celebration of KATIMAVIK's 10th anniversary was of interest to a significantly greater proportion of participants of Years 1 (86%) and 5 (86%) than for participants of Years 2 (57%); 3 (62%); or 4 (58%).

In a similar vein the proportion of respondents who would like to participate in special projects was significantly higher for:

1) Men (73%) than for women (61%).
2) Respondents who resided at the time of the survey in Atlantic Canada (79%) or in Quebec (75%) than for participants who resided in Ontario (60%) or in Western Canada (58%).
3) Francophones (77%) than for Anglophones (60%); and
4) Respondents who participated in Year 1 (76%) or in year five (76%) of the KATIMAVIK program than participants of Year 2 (57%), Year 3 (62%), or Year 4 (58%).

Of the three types of interactions tested, information on the jobs that are available at KATIMAVIK commanded the least interest; 57% stated that they would like to receive information on jobs as group leaders, 55% as recruitment officers, and 71% as resource persons. When the demographic profiles of respondents were examined, two significant differences were evident. Firstly, jobs as recruitment officers were of interest to a greater proportion of past participants who were either under 23 years of age (56%) or between the ages of 23 and 25 (56%) than for respondents over 25 (49%). Secondly, jobs as a resource person were of interest to a higher proportion of men (80%) than of women (66%).

As previously stated, these questions were designed to determine whether past participants would like to renew their link with KATIMAVIK, and if so, the type of interaction that would be of interest to them. A number of interesting findings were observed:

1. A majority of participants clearly stated that they wished to resume the information flow with KATIMAVIK. More specifically, 85% stated that they would like to receive the KATIMAVIK newsletter.

2. Passive reception of information on youth related policies was favored by a significantly larger proportion of respondents than was the active participation in specific activities, such as special projects.

3. An inverse relationship between the degree of involvement and the proportion of respondents who wish to participate was evident. Participation in a celebration was favoured by a larger proportion of respondents than was participation in a survey. Similarly, youth related issues was of interest to a greater proportion of KATIMAVIK graduates than was participation in special projects.

4. The trend between involvement and interest (as involvement increased, the proportion who wish to participate decreases) is even more evident when the responses of participants of Years 1 and 5 are compared with responses of participants of Years 2, 3 and 4.

5. Past participants seem least interested in KATIMAVIK "Help Wanted" information.

Section 2

Occupation

## Occupation

Respondents were asked to describe their principal occupation when they enrolled in KATIMAVIK; during the 12 months following KATIMAVIK; and at present (May 1985).

As described in Table 2, the occupation profile of KATIMAVIK participants has shifted over time. The proportion of participants who were students has dropped from 72% prior to enrollment, to less than half (43%) immediately following KATIMAVIK, to 27% at present. The proportion of participants who were employed showed the opposite trend; 19% were employed prior to KATIMAVIK; approximately half (48%) were employed immediately following KATIMAVIK; and 60% are employed at present. The proportion of participants who were unemployed has remained at approximately 10% (prior - 9; after - 8%; now - 9%), and the proportion who classify themselves as "other" (housewives) has been slowly increasing with time (prior - none; after - 1%; now - 4%).

These trends are not surprising since they profile the life changes of most groups of adolescents who enter young adulthood.

Changes to Occupation Profile of
KATIMAVIK Participants of Years 1 - 5

| Base | Before (Q5) =403 | After (Q6) =403 | Now (Q7) =403 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Students | 72% | 43% | 27% |
| Employed | 19% | 48% | 60% |
| Unemployed | 9% | 8% | 9% |
| Other (Housewives) | - | 1% | 4% |

Q.5 What was your main occupation when you enrolled in the KATIMAVIK program?

Q.6 What was your principal occupation during the 12 months immediately following KATIMAVIK?

Q.7 What is your main occupation at present?

Table 2

As described in Table 3, significant differences were observed when the occupation profiles of men and women were compared. Briefly, the profile of the men and women who were surveyed followed the general occupation trend that was previously described. (At enrollment, the majority were students, whereas at the time of the survey the majority of participants were employed). Although true of both men and women, it is particularly so for men, since prior to participation 69% of men and 74% of women were students, whereas at the time of the survey 66% of men and 59% of women were employed.

## Sex Differences in Occupation Trends

| | Male | | | Female | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Base | Before (Q5) =156 | After (Q6) =155 | Now (Q7) =156 | Before (Q5) =247 | After (Q6) =243 | Now (Q7) =245 |
| Students | 69% | 43% | 24% | 74% | 42% | 29% |
| Employed | 19% | 45% | 66% | 19% | 51% | 59% |
| Unemployed | 12% | 2% | 10% | 7% | 6% | 8% |
| Other (housewives) | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1% | 4% |

Q.5 What was your main occupation when you enrolled in the KATIMAVIK program?

Q.6 What was your principal occupation during the 12 months immediately following KATIMAVIK?

Q.7 What is your main occupation at present?

Table 3

Other less continuous demographic differences were also noted:...

At enrollment, significant differences in occupation between Francophone and Anglophone participants were evident. Of the Francophones, 10% were unemployed; 69% were students and 21% were employed. Whereas, among Anglophones, 8% were unemployed; 73% were students; and 19% were employed. A smaller proportion of Anglophones than Francophones were students.

The occupation profile at the time of the survey tends to interact with both their current province of residence and the year of participation. At the time of study, the proportion of past participants who were unemployed in either Atlantic Canada (12%) or Quebec (15%) was significantly greater than the proportion of KATIMAVIK graduates who were unemployed in either Ontario (75%) or Western Canada (4%). It was also noted that the trend from student to worker was also evident by year of participation at the time the survey was examined.

As illustrated in Table 4, respondents from earlier years have a higher proportion of graduates that are employed (70% in Year 1 vs. 50% in Year 5); and of housewives (Year 5 - 8% vs. Year 1 - 1%) and corresponding lower proportions of students (Year 1 - 12% vs. Year 5 - 38%), as well as lower proportions of unemployed (average of 7 for Years 1 - 3 vs. 11.5 for Years 4 and 5) than those who participated in later years.

Occupation Profile at Present and
Year of Participation

| | Participation in .. | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Base | Year 1 = 50 | Year 2 = 2 | Year 3 = 3 | Year 4 = 4 | Year 5 = 5 |
| Student | 12% | 14% | 31% | 27% | 3% |
| Employed | 70% | 72% | 59% | 60% | 50% |
| Unemployed | 10% | 6% | 5% | 12% | 11% |
| Other | 8% | 8% | 5% | 1% | 1% |

Q.7 What is your main occupation at present?

Table 4

Student

Once the respondents had described their occupation, past participants who classified themselves as students at the time of the interview were asked a series of questions to specifically probe the impact of their participation in KATIMAVIK on their present occupation.

Of the participants surveyed (base = 100); 34% stated that KATIMAVIK had very little influence, 26% that it had some influence; 20% great influence; and 19% stated that their participation in KATIMAVIK had considerable influence on the fact that they were students. Slightly over one-third (39%) believed that KATIMAVIK influenced their decision to return to school. This is described in Table 5.

Influence of KATIMAVIK on Return to School

Base = 100

| | |
|---|---|
| Very little influence? | 34% |
| Some influence? | 26% |
| Considerable influence? | 20% |
| A great influence? | 19% |
| Refused/Don't know | 1% |

Q. 8 How much would you say that your participation in KATIMAVIK influenced your decision to return to school? Would you say that your participation at KATIMAVIK had ...

Table 5

As illustrated in Table 6, these students were then asked to describe their field of study. Sixteen percent mentioned education; 9% fine arts; 11% the humanities; 1% administration; 27% the social sciences; 7% biology; 9% engineering; 9% the health sciences; 3% mathematics; and 9% another discipline.

Field of Study

Base = 100

| | |
|---|---|
| Education | 16% |
| Fine Arts | 9% |
| Humanities/Philosophy/Languages | 11% |
| Administration/Economic Sciences | 1% |
| Social Sciences/Psychology/Sociology | 27% |
| Agriculture/Biology | 7% |
| Engineering/Applied Sciences/Computers | 9% |
| Health Sciences/Physical Education | 9% |
| Mathematics/Physical Sciences/Chemistry/Physics | 3% |
| Other (Specify) | 9% |

Q.9 Among the following programs, which one best describes the area in which you are pursuing your studies?

Table 6

When asked to describe the influence of KATIMAVIK on their choice of program, 25% stated that KATIMAVIK had little influence on their choice; 25% that it had some influence; 31% believed that their participation had considerable influence; while 20% attributed KATIMAVIK with a great deal of influence on their choice of program (see Table 7). Thus half (51%) of the participants surveyed believed that KATIMAVIK influenced their choice of program.

Influence of KATIMAVIK on Choice of Program

Base = 98

| | |
|---|---|
| Very little influence ..... | 25% |
| Some influence ..... | 25% |
| Considerable influence ..... | 31% |
| A great influence ..... | 20% |
| Refused ..... | 1% |

Q.10 How much would you say that your participation in KATIMAVIK influenced your <u>choice</u> of program? Would you say that your participation in KATIMAVIK had...

<u>Table 7</u>

## Employment

The past participants who were employed at the time of the interview (base = 238) were asked a series of questions that were designed to shed light on the influence of KATIMAVIK on their work.

Of the participants surveyed; 35% were employed by the public sector; 54% by the private sector; and 11% were self-employed. This is illustrated in Table 8.

Sector Employed

Base = 238

Public Sector ..... 35%

Private Sector..... 54

Other ..... 11

Q.11 If you had to describe the main sector or organization for which you were employed in the last 12 months, would you say that you were employed for ...

Table 8

These past participants were then asked to describe the nature of their work. As illustrated in Table 9, 10% described their work as managerial; 6% natural sciences; 3% social sciences; 8% teaching; 5% medicine; 3% the artistic field; 10% clerical work; 6% sales, 13% the service industry; 7% harvesting of natural resources; 9% machinery, repair, or construction industries; 4% other crafts; and 15% were not classified.

When the demographic profiles of the respondents were analyzed, significant differences between the occupation profiles of men and women were observed. A significantly larger proportion of men were employed in occupations in the natural sciences (10% men - 2% women); and in machining/construction (20% men - 4% women) while women were employed in significantly larger proportions as teachers (6% men - 10% women)1 in medicine (1% men - 8% women); and in service industries (7% men - 17% women).

## Nature of Work

| | |
|---|---|
| Managerial | 10% |
| Natural sciences | 6% |
| Social sciences | 3% |
| Religion | - |
| Teaching | 8% |
| Medicine | 5% |
| Artistic | 3% |
| Clerical | 10% |
| Sales | 6% |
| Services | 13% |
| Farming/Fishing/Forestry/Mining | 7% |
| Machining/Repair/Construction | 9% |
| Other Crafts | 4% |
| Not calssified | 15% |
| Refused | 1% |

Q.12 What is the nature of your work?

Table 9

When these workers were asked if KATIMAVIK influenced their choice of work, 20% stated that it had a very strong influence; 31% a somewhat strong influence; 18% a weak influence; while 30% believed that their participation in the program had no influence at all.

Upon analysis of the demographic profile, it was noted that Francophone participants attribute less influence to KATIMAVIK than do Anglophones. Among Francophones, 25% stated that KATIMAVIK had a very strong influence; 20% that it had a somewhat strong influence; 18% a weak influence; and 27% that KATIMAVIK had no influence at all. The corresponding evaluations made by Anglophones were: 18% very strong; 37% somewhat strong; 12% weak; and 32% stated it had no influence at all.

Impact of KATIMAVIK on Choice of Work

Base = 231

| | |
|---|---|
| Very strong ..... | 20% |
| Somewhat strong ..... | 31% |
| Weak ..... | 18% |
| Not at all ..... | 30% |
| Refused/Don't know ..... | 1% |

Q.13 Did your participation in KATIMAVIK have a very strong, somewhat strong, a weak or no influence at all on your choice of work?

Table 10

Slightly more than half (56%) respondents stated that their participation in KATIMAVIK had taught them work related skills. However, when asked if these skills acquired at KATIKAVIK were similar to those required at their work, 9% stated that they were very similar; 33% that they were somewhat similar; 20% that they were a little similar; and 37% that they were not at all similar.

When these responses were analyzed for demographic differences, two interesting trends were observed. First of all, a larger proportion of Francophones (49%) than Anglophones (38%) believed that the skills they acquired at KATIMAVIK are either "very similar" or "somewhat similar" to the skills they utilize at work. Secondly, participants who reside in the Atlantic Provinces (56%) or in Quebec (49%) are more likely than past participants who reside in Ontario (33%) or in Western Canada (40%) to state that the skills they acquired at KATIMAVIK are "very similar" or "somewhat similar" to those required in their present job. (See Table 11).

## Work Skills

| | Yes<br>Base = 242 |
|---|---|
| Learned work skills ..... | 56% |
| | Base = 236 |
| Similarity to job skills: | |
| Very similar ..... | 9% |
| Somewhat similar ..... | 33% |
| A little similar ..... | 20% |
| Not at all similar ..... | 37% |
| Refused ..... | 1% |

Q.14 When you were at KATIMAVIK, did you acquire some work skills that helped you in your job?

Q.15 Are the work skills required in your work similar to those that you acquired during your stay in KATIMAVIK?

Table 11

## Unemployment

Of the 36 participants who stated that they were unemployed at the time of the initial interview, 35 were contacted and asked why they were unemployed. Of these respondents, three had found employment during the week between interviews, five were employed in other types of occupations (3 were housewives; 1 was a permanent, full-time volunteer; and 1 was between trips and on vacation).

The remaining unemployed 27 participants were asked to explain the reasons why they had not found employment. Four stated that they work on periodic assignments and were between contracts, four were on temporary lay-offs, three had tried a number of jobs but had not found what they were looking for. Another two stated that they work when they have to and did not need to do so at the present time, while the remaining 14 stated that they were actively looking for a job.

As described by Statistics Canada (71-001), the unemployment ratio in Canada at the time of the survey (April 1985) was 11.5%. Since it cannot be assumed that the profile of KATIMAVIK participants resembles that of the Canadian population, the Canadian unemployment ratio was re-calculated based on the age, sex and province of residence of the KATIMAVIK participants. This re-weighted unemployment rate was 13.1%. Thus, among a hypothetical group of 403 Canadians with the same demographic profile as past KATIMAVIK participants, 55 (13.7%) would be unemployed. These calculations are presented in the Technical Appendix.

As previously stated, among the participants surveyed during the second interview, 27 or 6.7% of the total sample stated that they were unemployed. This would lead to the conclusion that young Canadians who had participated in KATIMAVIK are half as likely (49.8%) to be unemployed than other young Canadians who have not taken part in the program.

APPENDIX A:

COPIES OF THE STUDY QUESTIONNAIRES

Contemporary Research Centre Limited

Study No: 1,4 9444

Interviewer I.D.: 5,6 _____

Respondent No: 7,9 _____

KATIMAVIK

ASK TO SPEAK TO _____. THE NAME OF THE PARTICIPANT WHOSE NAME APPEARS ON THE LIST. IF THEY DO NOT KNOW THE PARTICIPANT, END INTERVIEW AND SELECT ANOTHER NAME. IF THE PARTICIPANT IS KNOWN BUT HAS MOVED, ASK FOR HIS/HER TELEPHONE NUMBER. WRITE THE NUMBER ON THE LIST AND DIAL THIS NUMBER. IF PARTICIPANT IS NOT KNOW OR HE/SHE HAS MOVED, END INTERVIEW AND SELECT ANOTHER NAME.

Good morning/afternoon/evening. My name is _____ from Contemporary Research Centre Limited. We are conducting a survey of past participants of KATIMAVIK. KATIMAVIK would like to use the network of former participants, parents of former participants, former sponsor and former employers in order to facilitate the exchange of information, to encourage participation in community activities and to consult them on youth-related issues.

1. Firstly, would you like to participate in ...

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| a) Special projects, such as that aiming to provide aid to famine-stricken countries? ..... | Yes | 10-1 |
| | No | 2 |
| | N/A | 8 |
| | D.K. | 9 |
| b) Surveys/opinion polls on youth-related issues? ... | Yes | 11-1 |
| | No | 2 |
| | N/A | 8 |
| | D.K. | 9 |
| c) Activities celebrating the 10th anniversary of KATIMAVIK? ..... | Yes | 12-1 |
| | No | 2 |
| | N/A | 8 |
| | D.K. | 9 |

2. Would you like to be informed of jobs that are available at KATIMAVIK ...

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| a) As group leader?..... | Yes | 13-1 |
| | No | 2 |
| | D.K. | 9 |
| b) As a participant recruitment officer? ..... | Yes | 14-1 |
| | No | 2 |
| | D.K. | 9 |
| c) As a resource person? ..... | Yes | 15-1 |
| | No | 2 |
| | D.K. | 9 |

3. Would you like to receive "THE KATIMAVIK NETWORK" newsletter regularly?..... Yes 16-1
No 2
D.K. 9

4. Would you like to receive information on KATIMAVIK activities which relate to ...

a) Policies, programs, services and public debates which concern youth? ..... Yes 17-1
No 2
D.K. 9

b) Activities relating to the International Year of Youth? ..... Yes 18-1
No 2
D.K. 9

5. What was your main occupation when you enrolled in the KATIMAVIK Program?

READ IF NEEDED

| | |
|---|---|
| Housewife/Husband at home ..... | 19,20-01 |
| Student - full time ..... | 02 |
| - part time ..... | 03 |
| - working during summer, etc. ..... | 04 |
| White collar/Management/Administration Professional/Executive ..... | 05 |
| Technician/Teacher ..... | 06 |
| Secretary/Clerk/Salesperson ..... | 07 |
| Skilled labourer ..... | 08 |
| Unskilled labourer ..... | 09 |
| Unemployed ..... | 10 |
| On Welfare ..... | 11 |
| Retired ..... | 12 |
| The armed forces ..... | 13 |
| Does not apply ..... | 88 |
| Other - (Specify):_____ | |
| Don't know/No answer ..... | 99 |

6. What was your principal occupation during the 12 months immediately following KATIMAVIK?

| READ IF NEEDED | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Housewife/Husband at home ..... | 21,22-01 |
| | Student - full time ..... | 02 |
| | - part time ..... | 03 |
| | - working during summer, etc. ..... | 04 |
| | White collar/Management/Administration Professional/Executive ..... | 05 |
| | Technician/Teacher ..... | 06 |
| | Secretary/Clerk/Salesperson ..... | 07 |
| | Skilled labourer ..... | 08 |
| | Unskilled labourer ..... | 09 |
| | Unemployed ..... | 10 |
| | On Welfare ..... | 11 |
| | Retired ..... | 12 |
| | The armed forces ..... | 13 |
| | Does not apply ..... | 88 |
| | Other - (Specify):_____ | |
| | Don't know/No answer ..... | 99 |

7. What is your main occupation at present?

| READ IF NEEDED | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Housewife/Husband at home..... | 23,24-01 |
| | Student - full time ..... | 02 |
| | - part time ..... | 03 |
| | - working during summer, etc. ..... | 04 |
| | White collar/Management/Administration Professional/Executive ..... | 05 |
| | Technician/Teacher ..... | 06 |
| | Secretary/Clerk/Salesperson ..... | 07 |
| | Skilled labourer ..... | 08 |
| | Unskilled labourer ..... | 09 |
| | Unemployed ..... | 10 |
| | On Welfare ..... | 11 |
| | Retired ..... | 12 |
| | The armed forces ..... | 13 |
| | Does not apply ..... | 88 |
| | Other - (Specify):_____ | |
| | Don't know/No answer ..... | 99 |

IF RESPONDENT IS NOT STUDYING AT PRESENT (CODE "02", 03, 04"), CIRCLE "N/A", FOR Q. 8 TO Q. 10.

8. How much would you say that your participation in KATIMAVIK influenced your decision to return to school? Would you say that your participation at KATIMAVIK had ...

| | |
|---|---|
| Very little influence? | 25-1 |
| Some influence? | 2 |
| Considerable influence? | 3 |
| A great influence? | 4 |
| Does not apply | 8 |
| Refused/Don't know | 9 |

9. Among the following programs, which one best describes the area in which you are pursuing your studies?

| | |
|---|---|
| Education? | 26,27-01 |
| Fine Arts? | 02 |
| Humanities/Philosophy/Languages? | 03 |
| Administration/Economic Sciences? | 04 |
| Social Sciences/Psychology/Sociology? | 05 |
| Agriculture/Biology? | 06 |
| Engineering/Applied Sciences/Computers? | 07 |
| Health Sciences/Physical Education? | 08 |
| Mathematics/Physical Sciences/Chemistry/Physics? | 09 |
| Other (Specify) _____ | 10 |
| Does not apply | 88 |
| Refused/Don't know | 99 |

10. How much would you say that your participation in KATIMAVIK influenced your <u>choice</u> of program? Would you say that your participation in KATIMATIK had ...

| | |
|---|---|
| Very little influence? | 28-1 |
| Some influence? | 2 |
| Considerable influence? | 3 |
| A great influence? | 4 |
| Does not apply | 8 |
| Refused/Don't know | 9 |

OF IN Q.7 CODES "01, 02, 03, 04, 10, 11, 12 OR 88" ARE CIRCLED
RESPONDENT DOES NOT WORK, CIRCLE "N/A" "8" OR "88"
FOR Q. 10 TO 15 INCLUSIVELY AND SKIP TO Q.16 .

11. If you had to describe the main sector or organization for which you were employed in the last 12 months, would you say that you were employed for ...

| | |
|---|---|
| The Government? | 29-1 |
| Crown Corporation, such as CBC, AIR CANADA, CANADIAN NATIONAL, VIA? | 2 |
| The armed forces? | 3 |
| Non-profit/Charity organizations? | 4 |
| The private sector? | 5 |
| Self-employed? | 6 |
| Not applicable | 8 |
| Don't know | 9 |

12. What is the nature of your work?

READ IF NEEDED

| | |
|---|---|
| Managerial, Administrative and related occupations | 30,31-01 |
| Occupations in natural sciences, engineering and mathematics | 02 |
| Occupations in social sciences and related fields | 03 |
| Occupations in religion | 04 |
| Teaching and related occupations | 05 |
| Occupations in medicine and health | 06 |
| Artistic, literary, recreational and related fields | 07 |
| Clerical and related fields | 08 |
| Sales occupations | 09 |
| Service occupations | 10 |
| Farming, horticultural and animal husbandry occupations | 11 |
| Fishing, trapping and related occupations | 12 |
| Forestry and logging occupations | 13 |
| Mining and quarrying, including oil and gas field occupations | 14 |
| Processing occupations | 15 |
| Machining and related occupations | 16 |
| Product fabricating, assembling and repairing occupations | 17 |
| Construction trades occupations | 18 |
| Transport equipment operating occupations | 19 |
| Material handling and related occupations, N.C.A. | 20 |
| Other crafts and equipment operating occupations | 21 |
| Occupations not classified elsewhere | 22 |
| Not applicable | 88 |
| Refused/Don't know | 99 |

13. Did your participation in KATIMAVIK have a very strong somewhat strong, a weak or no influence at all in your choice of work?

| | |
|---|---|
| Very strong | 32-1 |
| Somewhat strong | 2 |
| Weak | 3 |
| Not at all | 4 |
| Not applicable | 8 |
| Refused/Don't know | 9 |

14. When you were at KATIMAVIK, did you acquire some work skills that helped you in your job? ..... Yes 33-1
No 2
N/A 8
Refused 9

15. Are the work skills required in your work similar to those that you acquired during your stay in KATIMAVIK?

Very similar? ..... 34-1

Somewhat? ..... 2

A little? ..... 3

Not at all? ..... 4

Not applicable ..... 8

Refused/Don't know ..... 9

16. Of all the languages you understand now, which one did you learn first? CIRCLE ONE NUMBER ONLY.

French 35-1

English 2

Inuit/North American Indian 3

Other - Specify: _____

WRITE:

17. RESPONDENT'S AGE: _____ 36-37

18. RESPONDENT'S SEX: _____ 38

19. YEAR OF ENROLLMENT AT THE KATIMAVIK PROGRAM (1 TO 5) _____ 39

20. PROVINCE OF RESIDENCE AT THE TIME OF ENROLMENT: _____ 40-41

21. CURRENT RESIDENCE: _____ 42-43

22. TELEPHONE NUMBER OF RESPONDENT: _____

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

NAME OF INTERVIEWER: _____

LENGTH OF INTERVIEW: _____

Centre de Recherches Contemporaines Limitée Project No: 9446

KATIMAVIK SUIVI

May I speak to _____? My name is _____ from Contemporary Research Centre. A few weekends ago, you answered a telephone interview that was sponsored by KATIMAVIK. Since the names and answers of past participants who answered our questionnaires are strictly confidential, we have been asked by KATIMAVIK to further explore why a percentage of those who participated in KATIMAVIK are presently not employed.

1. During our interview, you stated that you were unemployed. Is this still the case?

| | |
|---|---|
| Yes ——▶ SKIP TO Q. 3 | 1 |
| No | 2 |

2. What is your principal occupation? DO NOT READ.

| | |
|---|---|
| Student | 1 |
| Worker | 2 |
| Other | 3 |

3. People are unemployed for a wide variety of reasons. I will read to you a series of statements and I would like you to tell me which statement best describes your current situation.

| | |
|---|---|
| I had an accident or was sick. As a result I cannot work at the present time. | 1 |
| I periodically work on a temporary assignment or on a short-term contract basis. Right now I am between assignments. | 2 |
| I was working, but there was not enough work. When things pick up they will hire me again. | 3 |
| I have tried a number of jobs but I haven't yet found what I'm looking for. | 4 |
| I work only when I have to, and I don't have to right now. | 5 |
| I am actively looking for a job, but haven't found one yet. | 6 |
| Other - Specify: _____ | X |

_____

_____

THANK RESPONDENT AND TERMINATE INTERVIEW.

Centre de Recherches Contemporaines Limitée

No de l'étude: 1,4 9444

No d'affectation: 5,6 _____

No du répondant: 7,9 _____

KATIMAVIK

DEMANDEZ À PARLER À _____. NOM DU PARTICIPANT INSCRIT SUR LA LISTE. SI LA PERSONNE EST INCONNUE OU QU'ELLE A DÉMÉNAGÉ, TERMINEZ ET CHOISISSEZ UN AUTRE NOM. SI ON CONNAÎT LA PERSONNE, MAIS QU'ELLE A DÉMÉNAGÉ, DEMANDEZ LE NOUVEAU NUMÉRO DE TÉLÉPHONE. INSCRIVEZ LE SUR LA LISTE ET COMPOSEZ CE NOUVEAU NUMÉRO.

Bonjour/Bonsoir. Je suis _____ du Centre de Recherches Contemporaines. Nous faisons un sondage auprès des anciens participants de KATIMAVIK. KATIMAVIK désire mettre à profit le réseau d'anciens participants, de parents d'anciens participants, d'ex-promoteurs et d'ex-employés afin de favoriser l'échange d'information, d'encourager la participation à des activités communautaires et de les consulter sur des questions concernant les jeunes.

1. Premièrement, aimeriez-vous participer ...

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| a) à des projets spéciaux comme celui visant à fournir de l'aide aux pays frappés par la famine?..... | Oui | 10-1 |
| | Non | 2 |
| | N/A | 8 |
| | NSP | 9 |
| b) à des enquêtes ou sondages d'opinion sur des questions concernant la jeunesse?..... | Oui | 11-1 |
| | Non | 2 |
| | N/A | 8 |
| | NSP | 9 |
| c) aux activités liées à la célébration du 10ème anniversaire de KATIMAVIK?..... | Oui | 12-1 |
| | Non | 2 |
| | N/A | 8 |
| | NSP | 9 |

2. Aimeriez-vous être informé(e) des postes offerts à KATIMAVIK comme ...

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| a) agent de groupe?..... | Oui | 13-1 |
| | Non | 2 |
| | NSP | 9 |
| b) agent de recrutement des participants?..... | Oui | 14-1 |
| | Non | 2 |
| | NSP | 9 |
| c) personne ressource?..... | Oui | 15-1 |
| | Non | 2 |
| | NSP | 9 |

3. Aimeriez-vous recevoir régulièrement le bulletin "Le réseau KATIMAVIK?..... | Oui | 16-1 | Non | 2 | NSP | 9

4. Aimeriez-vous être informé(e) des activités de KATIMAVIK en ce qui concerne les ...

a) politiques, programmes, services et débats publics qui concernent la jeunesse?..... Oui 17-1
Non 2
NSP 9

b) activités en général qui se rapportent à l'année internationale de la jeunesse?..... Oui 18-1
Non 2
NSP 9

5. Quelle était votre occupation principale au moment où vous vous êtes inscrit(e) à KATIMAVIK?

LIRE AU BESOIN

Femme/Homme au foyer ..... 19,20-01

Étudiant - plein temps..... 02
- temps partiel..... 03
- travail l'été, etc..... 04

Col blanc/Direction/Administration
Professionnel/Exécutif..... 05

Technicien/Enseignant..... 06

Secrétaire/Commis/Vendeur(se)..... 07

Ouvrier spécialité..... 08

Ouvrier non-spécialisé..... 09

Sans emploi..... 10

Bénéficiaire du Bien-Être Social..... 11

À la retraite..... 12

Dans les forces armées..... 13

Ne s'applique pas..... 88

Autre - (Précisez):_____

Ne sait pas/Pas de réponse..... 99

6. Quelle a été votre occupation principale pendant les 12 mois qui ont suivi votre séjour à KATIMAVIK?

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| LIRE AU BESOIN | Femme/Homme au foyer..... | 21,22-01 |
| | Étudiant - plein temps..... | 02 |
| | - temps partiel..... | 03 |
| | - travail l'été, etc..... | 04 |
| | Col blanc/Direction/Administration Professionnel/Cadre..... | 05 |
| | Technicien/Enseignant..... | 06 |
| | Secrétaire/Commis/Vendeur(se)..... | 07 |
| | Ouvrier spécialité..... | 08 |
| | Ouvrier non-spécialisé..... | 09 |
| | Sans emploi..... | 10 |
| | Bénéficiaire du Bien-Être Social..... | 11 |
| | À la retraite..... | 12 |
| | Dans les forces armées..... | 13 |
| | Ne s'applique pas..... | 88 |
| | Autre - (Précisez):_____ | |
| | Ne sait pas/Pas de réponse..... | 99 |

7. Présentement, quelle est votre occupation principale?

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| LIRE AU BESOIN | Femme/Homme au foyer..... | 23,24-01 |
| | Étudiant - plein temps..... | 02 |
| | - temps partiel..... | 03 |
| | - travail l'été, etc..... | 04 |
| | Col blanc/Direction/Administration Professionnel/Cadre..... | 05 |
| | Technicien/Enseignant..... | 06 |
| | Secrétaire/Commis/Vendeur(se)..... | 07 |
| | Ouvrier spécialité..... | 08 |
| | Ouvrier non-spécialisé..... | 09 |
| | Sans emploi..... | 10 |
| | Bénéficiaire du Bien-Être Social..... | 11 |
| | À la retraite..... | 12 |
| | Dans les forces armées..... | 13 |
| | Ne s'applique pas..... | 88 |
| | Autre - (Précisez):_____ | |
| | Ne sait pas/Pas de réponse..... | 99 |

**SI LE RÉPONDANT N'EST PAS AUX ÉTUDES PRÉSENTEMENT (CODE "02", 03, 04"), ENCERCLEZ "N/A" DE LA Q.8 À LA Q.10.**

8. Dans quelle mesure estimez-vous que votre participation à KATIMAVIK a pu influencer votre décision de retourner aux études? Diriez-vous que votre participation à KATIMAVIK a eu ...

| | |
|---|---|
| Une très légère influence?..... | 25-1 |
| Une légère influence?..... | 2 |
| Une assez grande influence?..... | 3 |
| Une très grande influence?..... | 4 |
| Ne s'applique pas ..... | 8 |
| Refus/Ne sait pas..... | 9 |

9. Dans quelle mesure votre participation à KATIMAVIK a-t-elle été importante lors de votre <u>choix</u> de discipline ou de programme d'études? Diriez-vous que votre participation a eu ...

| | |
|---|---|
| Une très légère influence?..... | 26-1 |
| Une légère influence?..... | 2 |
| Une assez grande influence?..... | 3 |
| Une très grande influence?..... | 4 |
| Ne s'applique pas ..... | 8 |
| Refus/Ne sait pas..... | 9 |

10. Dans quel domaine poursuivez-vous vos études? Est-ce en ...

| | |
|---|---|
| Éducation?..... | 27,28-01 |
| Beaux Arts?..... | 02 |
| Humanités/Langues/Philosophie?..... | 03 |
| Administration/Sciences économiques?..... | 04 |
| Sciences sociales/Psychologie/Sociologie?..... | 05 |
| Agriculture/Biologie?..... | 06 |
| Génie/Sciences appliquées/Informatique?..... | 07 |
| Sciences de la santé/Éducation physique?..... | 08 |
| Mathématiques/Sciences Pures/Chimie/Physique?..... | 09 |
| Autre (Précisez)_____ | 10 |
| Ne s'applique pas..... | 88 |
| Refus/Pas de réponse..... | 99 |

...

SI LE RÉPONDANT NE TRAVAILLE PAS PRÉSENTEMENT, Q.7 (CODE "01, 02, 03, 04, 10, 11, 12 OU 88) ENCERCLEZ "N/A" "8" OU "88" POUR LES Q.11 À 15 INCLUSIVEMENT

11. Comment décririez-vous l'organisme, l'entreprise ou l'institution principal pour lequel vous étiez employé au cours des douze derniers mois? Étiez-vous employé par ...

| | |
|---|---|
| le gouvernement?..... | 29-1 |
| une compagnie de la couronne, comme CBOFT, AIR CANADA, CANADIEN NATIONAL, VIA ...?..... | 2 |
| les forces armées?..... | 3 |
| un organisme sans but lucratif/de charité..... | 4 |
| le secteur privé ?..... | 5 |
| à votre propre compte?..... | 6 |
| Ne s'applique pas..... | 8 |
| Ne sait pas..... | 9 |

12. Quel genre d'emploi occupez-vous?

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| LISEZ AU BESOIN | Directeurs, gérants, administrateurs et personnel assimilé..... | 30,31-01 |
| | Travailleurs spécialisés des sciences naturelles, du génie et des mathématiques..... | 02 |
| | Travailleurs spécialisés des sciences sociales et domaines connexes..... | 03 |
| | Membres du clergé et assimilés..... | 04 |
| | Enseignants et personnel assimilé..... | 05 |
| | Médecine et santé..... | 06 |
| | Professionnels des domaines artistique et littéraire et personnel assimilé..... | 07 |
| | Employés de bureau et travailleurs assimilés..... | 08 |
| | Travailleurs spécialisés dans la vente..... | 09 |
| | Travailleurs spécialisés dans les services..... | 10 |
| | Agriculteurs, horticulteurs et éleveurs..... | 11 |
| | Pêcheurs, trappeurs et travailleurs assimilés..... | 12 |
| | Travailleurs forestiers et bûcherons..... | 13 |
| | Mineurs, carriers, foreurs de puits de pétrole et de gaz et travailleurs assimilés..... | 14 |
| | Travailleurs des industries de transformation..... | 15 |
| | Usineurs et travailleurs des domaines connexes.... | 16 |
| | Travailleurs spécialisés dans la fabrication, le montage et la réparation de produits..... | 17 |
| | Travailleurs du bâtiment..... | 18 |
| | Personnel d'exploitation des transports..... | 19 |
| | Manutentionnaires et travailleurs assimilés, N.C.A. | 20 |
| | Autres ouvriers qualifiés et conducteurs de machines | 21 |
| | Travailleurs non classés ailleurs..... | 22 |
| | Ne s'applique pas..... | 88 |
| | Refus/Ne sait pas..... | 99 |

13. Est-ce que votre participation au programme KATIMAVIK a exercé une très grande, une assez grande, une légère ou pas d'influence du tout sur le genre d'emploi que vous avez cherché?

| | |
|---|---|
| Très grande..... | 32-1 |
| Assez grande..... | 2 |
| Légère..... | 3 |
| Pas du tout..... | 4 |
| Ne s'applique pas..... | 8 |
| Refus/Ne sait pas..... | 9 |

14. À votre avis, avez-vous acquis des techniques de travail lors de votre séjour à KATIMAVIK qui vous ont aidé lors de cet emploi?..... Oui 33-1
Non 2
N/A 8
Refus 9

15. Dans quelle mesure les techniques de travail exigées par cet emploi sont-elles semblables à celles que vous avez utilisées au cours de votre séjour à KATIMAVIK? Diriez-vous qu'elles sont ...

tout à fait semblables?..... 34-1
un peu semblables?..... 2
assez peu semblables?..... 3
pas semblables du tout?..... 4
Ne s'applique pas..... 8
Refus/Ne sait pas..... 9

16. De toutes les langues que vous comprenez maintenant, quelle est celle que vous avez apprise en premier?

Français 35-1
Anglais 2
Langue Inuit/des Indiens d'Amérique 3
Autre - Précisez:_____

INSCRIVEZ:

17. ÂGE DU RÉPONDANT:_____ 36-37

18. SEXE DU RÉPONDANT:_____ 38

19. ANNÉE D'INSCRIPTION AU PROGRAMME:(entre 1 et 5)_____ 39

20. PROVINCE DE RÉSIDENCE LORS DE L'INSCRIPTION:_____ 40-41

21. PROVINCE DE RÉSIDENCE EN CE MOMENT:_____ 42-43

22. NO DE TÉLÉPHONE DU RÉPONDANT:_____

NOUS VOUS REMERCIONS DE VOTRE COOPÉRATION.

NOM DE L'INTERVIEWER:_____

DURÉE DE L'INTERVIEW:_____

Centre de Recherches Contemporaines Limitée

No de l'étude: 9446

KATIMAVIK SUIVI

Puis-je parler à _____? Je suis _____ du Centre de Recherches Contemporaines. Il y a quelques fins de semaine, vous avez répondu à une interview téléphonique qui a été commandité par KATIMAVIK. Puisque le nom et les réponses des anciens participants qui ont répondu à notre questionnaire sont confidentiels, KATIMAVIK nous a demandé de sonder les raisons pour lesquelles un pourcentage de ceux qui ont participé à KATIMAVIK sont présentement sans emploi?

1. Lors de l'interview, vous avez indiqué que vous étiez sans emploi. Est-ce que c'est encore le cas?

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Oui | PASSEZ À LA Q.3 | 1 |
| Non | | 2 |

2. Présentement, quelle est votre occupation principale? NE LISEZ PAS.

| | |
|---|---|
| Étudiant | 1 |
| Travailleur | 2 |
| Autre | 3 |

3. Les gens peuvent être sans emploi dû à plusieurs raisons. Je vais vous lire quelques énoncés et j'aimerais que vous me disiez lequel correspond le mieux à votre situation actuelle.

| | |
|---|---|
| J'ai eu un accident ou une maladie, ceci a eu pour conséquence que je ne peux pas travailler en ce moment. | 1 |
| Je travaille périodiquement sur des mandats temporaires ou à contrat à courte durée. En ce moment je suis entre deux mandats. | 2 |
| Je travaillais, mais il n'y avait pas assez d'ouvrage. Une fois que ça va reprendre, ils vont me réembaucher. | 3 |
| J'ai tenté plusieurs emploi mais je n'ai pas trouvé ce que je cherche. | 4 |
| Je travaille seulement quand je suis obligé et je ne suis obligé en ce moment. | 5 |
| Je cherche sérieusement un emploi mais je n'en ai pas encore trouvé. | 6 |
| Autre - Précisez: _____ | X |

REMERCIEZ LE RÉPONDANT ET TERMINEZ L'INTERVIEW.

APPENDIX B:

TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The estimate ot the Canadian unemployment rate for a population with demographic characteristics equivalent to the sample was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Sum for each given profile} \left[ \text{Number of participants with a given profile} \times \text{Unemployment rate during April 1985 for Canadians with a given profile} \right]$$

$$\frac{}{\text{Total number of participants}}$$

i.e. $\frac{55.342}{403} = 13.7\ \%$

The values that were utilized were taken from Statistics Canada Publication 71-001, The Labour Force, April 1985, p.64, table 37 Unemployment rate by province, age and sex, April 1985.

The actual numbers that were utilized for the computation were:

| Province | Sex | Age | Nember of Katimavik Participants | Unemployment Rate April 1985 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Quebec | Male | 20-24 | 34 | 21.2 |
| | | 25 + | 26 | 13.7 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 32 | 15.1 |
| | | 25 + | 25 | 12.3 |
| New Brunswick | Male | 20-24 | 2 | 34.4 |
| | | 25 + | 3 | 19.9 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 3 | 21.4 |
| | | 25 + | 7 | 15.8 |
| Nova Scotia | Male | 20-24 | 3 | 35.5 |
| | | 25 + | 3 | 16.9 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 3 | 22.8 |
| | | 25 + | 3 | 15.0 |
| P.E.I. | Female | 20-24 | 1 | 14.7 |
| Newfoundland | Male | 20-24 | 3 | 40.8 |
| | | 25 + | 4 | 29.0 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 2 | 31.8 |
| | | 25 + | 4 | 24.3 |
| Ontario | Male | 20-24 | 32 | 14.0 |
| | | 25 + | 18 | 11.1 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 57 | 7.0 |
| | | 25 + | 29 | 9.7 |
| Alberta | Male | 20-24 | 5 | 16.2 |
| | | 25 + | 4 | 11.5 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 9 | 11.9 |
| | | 25 + | 13 | 10.9 |
| Saskatchewan | Male | 20-24 | 2 | 17.3 |
| | | 25 + | 1 | 7.5 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 10 | 11.5 |
| | | 25 + | 5 | 8.6 |
| Manitoba | Male | 20-24 | 1 | 17.2 |
| | | 25 + | 2 | 8.7 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 3 | 9.9 |
| | | 25 + | 3 | 7.1 |
| British Columbia | Male | 20-24 | 8 | 24.2 |
| | | 25 + | 4 | 15.1 |
| | Female | 20-24 | 20 | 20.2 |
| | | 25 + | 12 | 16.9 |

Information was not available for 5 participants, their unemployment rate was assumed to be at the Canadian average of 11.5%.